June 26, 2017

The Honorable Bob Goodlatte  
US House of Representatives  
2309 Rayburn HOB  
Washington, D.C. 20515  

The Honorable John Conyers  
US House of Representatives  
2426 Rayburn HOB  
Washington, D.C. 20515  

cc: Members of the House Judiciary Committee

Dear Chairman Goodlatte and Ranking Member Conyers,

The undersigned coalition of civil and human rights, faith and criminal justice reform organizations write to inform you of our opposition to HR 2851, the Stop Importation and Trafficking of Synthetic Analogues Act of 2017. This bill would greatly expand the penalties for drug offenses, add mandatory minimum sentences to the federal code, and give the Attorney General unchecked power to schedule drugs and set criminal penalties. The proposed legislation is a backwards approach to addressing the country’s drug problem by ignoring evidence of effective drug interventions and countering the common public sentiment that embraces treatment over incarceration as the right approach to the opioid epidemic.

We are acutely concerned by the dangers presented by drugs like fentanyl and its synthetic analogues, with an alarming number of overdose deaths attributed to these types of substances. But HR 2851 will do little to deter the use or sale of these drugs. We know that synthetic analogues are often manufactured outside the country where the substances are mixed with heroin. In June 2016, the head of the DEA Chuck Rosenberg testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee that, “Illicit fentanyl, fentanyl derivatives, and their immediate precursors are often produced in China.” By the time the drugs enter the United States where they are sold, buyers and sellers are often unaware of the composition and potency of the drugs. However, users and sellers would face heightened penalties under the bill regardless of their knowledge of the presence of these substances.

In late 2015, this Committee recognized the failings of harsh sentences for drugs like heroin and crack and voted overwhelmingly to reduce such penalties. This bill undermines that admirable bipartisan commitment to proportional and fair sentencing for drug offenses. The inclusion of a new mandatory minimum sentence is particularly egregious because these inflexible, “one-size-fits-all” sentencing laws undermine justice by preventing judges from fitting the punishment to the individual and the circumstances of their offenses. Mandatory sentencing laws have caused federal prison populations to soar, leading to overcrowding and exorbitant costs to taxpayers.
As organizations committed to transparency and evidence-based approaches to drug policy we are also concerned by the unprecedented power granted to the Attorney General under this legislation. Currently, for a drug to be scheduled and penalties applied, the Department of Justice must work in collaboration with public health officials at the Department of Health and Human Services, before deciding how to proceed. Each agency has equal weight when making decisions. Under this proposal, the public health role is circumvented, leaving the Attorney General with unilateral power to decide which drugs are scheduled and thus how the ensuing penalties are applied. To give the Attorney General this unbounded authority is troubling and misguided.

This Committee has spent years studying the issue of overcriminalization and warning Congress about the overreach of the executive branch. To now cede unique authority to the Attorney General to decide which substances are illegal and set penalties accordingly, without the input of Congress, contradicts the good work of this Committee and its commitment to justice.

Finally, it is important to note that the U.S. Sentencing Commission is already studying the issue of synthetic drugs and penalties. They have held hearings on the issue and heard testimony from a variety of law enforcement and public health officials as they seek to find solutions to this complex topic. The expertise and information gathered by the Commission is important to review and consider before this Committee moves forward with legislation in this area.

Our country is at a crossroads. We are in the midst of an opioid epidemic, but tough sentences will not solve the problem because, as we know, our current federal sentencing regime is racially discriminatory, ineffective and in need of an overhaul. Instead, public health approaches, education, and treatment remain key. This Committee wisely recognized the value of this approach when it recently passed the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act, as well as the Sentencing Reform Act of 2015. To advance HR 2851 now would contradict the Committee’s good work. We urge you and your colleagues to oppose this deeply misguided and damaging bill.

Sincerely,
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